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#### Abstract

The benz[a]anthraquinone antibiotic PD 116740 is formed from the regular cyclization of a decaketide intermediate folded in a manner to generate the angular tetracyclic skeleton. The 6 -deoxybenz[a]anthraquinone tetrangulol is an intermediate, indicating that 6 -deoxygenation occurs at a prearomatic stage in the biosynthesis. This was consistent with the lack of incorporation of acetate-derived oxygen at this site. Labelling of the C-5 hydroxyl by molecular oxygen indicates that enzymatic epoxidation of the K-region double bond, followed by action of an epoxide hydrolase, generates the 5,6-trans-diol moiety.


Benz[a]anthraquinones (isotetracenones, ${ }^{1}$ angucyclinones ${ }^{2}$ ) have in recent years become a major class of aromatic polyketide metabolites. Many of them have a variety of potent biological activities. Of those so far studied, all but one are derived biosynthetically from the predictable folding of a decaketide precursor. ${ }^{3-7}$ In considering potential early branch points leading to modification of the fundamental skeleton, the presence or absence of an oxygen function at $\mathrm{C}-6$ was viewed to be a significant feature. The simplest representatives of 6 -oxobenz [a] anthraquinones are rabelomycin (1) ${ }^{8}$ and dehydrorabelomycin (2), ${ }^{4}$ while

the simplest representatives lacking this function are tetrangomycin (3) ${ }^{9,10}$ and tetrangulol (4). ${ }^{9,10}$ We have recently established that the hydroxyl oxygen at C-6 of $\mathbf{2}$ is derived from the original

[^0]Table 1. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectrum of PD 116740 and Incorporation of Labelled Precursors

| carbon | chemical <br> shift $^{b}(\delta)$ | $\begin{aligned} & J_{\mathrm{cc}} \\ & (\mathrm{~Hz}) \end{aligned}$ | precursor ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $3 \mathrm{~b}+\mathbf{3 c}$ coupled pair | 3d $\Delta \boldsymbol{\delta}$ | $3 \mathrm{e} \Delta \delta$ | ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}_{2} \Delta \delta$ |
| 1 | 157.3 | 70.6 | a | 0.01 |  |  |
| 2 | 117.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 147.5 | 46.8 | b |  | 0.06, 0.13 |  |
| 4 | 121.3 | 62.4 | c |  |  |  |
| 4 a | 140.4 | 62.0 | c |  |  |  |
| 5 | 72.7 | 42.9 | d |  |  | 0.02 |
| 6 | 64.8 | 43.1 | d |  |  |  |
| 6a | 142.2 | 53.8 | e |  |  |  |
| 7 | 184.2 | 53.9 | e |  |  |  |
| 7a | 120.5 | 70.6 | f |  |  |  |
| 8 | 160.6 | 70.6 | f | 0.02 |  |  |
| 9 | 120.1 | 60.5 | g |  |  |  |
| 10 | 137.5 | 60.5 | g |  | 0.07 |  |
| 11 | 119.2 | 56.9 | h |  |  |  |
| 11a | 136.5 | 56.9 | h |  | 0.10 |  |
| 12 | 187.2 | 52.6 | i |  |  |  |
| 12a | 142.9 | 52.6 | , |  |  |  |
| 12b | 115.6 | 70.7 | a |  |  |  |
| 13 | 64.4 | 46.8 | b |  |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Sites of enrichment indicated by $J_{\mathrm{cc}}$ coupling constants or by isotopeinduced shifts of $\delta$ (in ppm). ${ }^{b}$ DMSO- $d_{6} ; 100.6 \mathrm{MHz}$; spectral width $25000 \mathrm{~Hz} ; 128 \mathrm{~K}$ data points; 2.8 -s acquisition time; $35^{\circ}$ pulse; $16000-$ 39000 scans.
acetate precursor, while the corresponding oxygen in the antibiotic PD 116740 (5) is not. ${ }^{7}$ Furthermore, 4 rather than 2 is an intermediate in the latter pathway. ${ }^{7}$ We now report further details on the biosynthesis of 5 and the implications of these findings for a number of other biosynthetic pathways.

## Results

PD 116740, produced by Streptomyces WP 4669, is active against HCT-8 human adenocarcinoma and L1210 lymphocytic leukemia. ${ }^{11}$ Its structure was determined by a single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis, which also established the trans relative stereochemistry of the diol moiety. In preparation for biosynthetic studies, we obtained the ${ }^{13}$ CNMR assignments (Table 1) through a series of ID and 2D NMR experiments. S. WP 4669 was then grown in liquid culture, and a mixture of sodium [ $2-{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ ] acetate and sodium $\left[1-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right]$ acetate ( 6 a ) was fed in three pulses, beginning
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at the onset of the production of 5 (as detected by tle analysis). After a total fermentation time of 72 h , purification by chromatographies on Diaion HP-20 and silica gel, followed by recrystallization, yielded pure 5 a (Scheme 1). Liquid scintillation analysis of a sample indicated a $0.4 \%$ incorporation of acetate. Analysis by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopy revealed the expected nine enriched resonances (average enrichment $=5.5 \%$ ). Sodium [1,2${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ ]acetate (6b) was fed next in order to establish the nature of the biosynthetic backbone, and this yielded 5 b . The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum showed eighteen resonances with doublets flanking the natural abundance singlets, indicating the incorporation of nine intact precursor acetate units and one lone enriched singlet; however, the correct pairings could not be made directly due to the similarity of four coupling constants. A 2D INADEQUATE
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experiment clarified the situation, and the proper pairings were made (Table 1). This revealed the folding of the precursor polyketide chain 7 or 10 as shown in Scheme 2, which is the expected pattern for a regular benz[ $a$ ]anthraquinone.

A sample of $\left[2,4,5,9,11-{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right]$ dehydrorabelomycin (2a), a compound previously incorporated into kinamycin $\mathrm{D},{ }^{4}$ was now fed to $S$. WP 4669. Although 2 could no longer be detected in the broth upon termination of the fermentation, ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the 5 produced in this experiment showed no deuterium enrichment (Scheme 3).

In conjunction with another project, we had developed an efficient synthesis of 4 (Scheme 4) that allowed an expeditious test of it as an alternative intermediate. Thus, ochromycinone (8) was prepared with minor modifications of the sequence reported by Guignant. ${ }^{12}$ Compound 8 was then brominated at $\mathrm{C}-2$ to yield 9 , which was dehydrobrominated with lithium bromide and lithium carbonate in DMF. The yield of 4 for the two steps was $80-90 \%$. Deuterium exchange using the conditions previously established for preparing 2a-deuteriotrifluoroacetic acid at 110 $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a nitrogen atmosphere for two days-gave a $98 \%$ yield of 4a. Surprisingly, only H-2 and H-4 were exchanged ( $97 \%$ ); H-9 and H-11 were unchanged. In two separate experiments, a sample of 4 a was introduced to $S$. WP 4669 using the standard feeding protocol. Each time, workup afforded 5c, along with recovery of approximately half the material that had been fed (essentially unchanged in deuterium content). ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of 5 c clearly showed overlapping resonances at $\delta 6.90$ and 6.95 , corresponding to $\mathrm{H}-2$ and $\mathrm{H}-4$, respectively (average enrichment $=1.4 \%$ ).

We have further resolved the origins of the various hydrogens and oxygens of 5 (Scheme 1). To determine the origins of the oxygens, particularly those at $\mathrm{C}-5$ and $\mathrm{C}-6$, separate fermentations were fed sodium $\left[1-{ }^{-13} \mathrm{C},{ }^{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right.$ ]acetate ( 6 c ) or grown under an atmosphere of ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. The former yielded 5 d , and the latter yielded 5e. Isotope-shifted ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ resonances revealed that 5 d was labelled only at $\mathrm{C}-1$ and $\mathrm{C}-8$. This was in contrast to labelling of $\mathbf{2}$ from

[^2] 3110.
a similar experiment, in which labelling was also observed at C-6 and C-7. ${ }^{6}$ The lack of label at C-6 was consistent with the incorporation of 4 a , while the lack of label at C-7 could be explained by exchange with the aqueous fermentation medium. ${ }^{13}$ An isotope-shifted natural abundance resonance for $\mathrm{C}-5$ was observed in the spectrum of 5 e , revealing that the oxygen at this position had come from molecular oxygen. The lack of label of $\mathrm{C}-12$ could, once again, be attributed to exchange. Lastly, we determined the fates of the acetate hydrogens by feeding sodium $\left[1-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}, 2-{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right.$ ]acetate ( 6 d ), which yielded 5f. Isotope-induced shifts of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR resonances for $\mathrm{C}-3,-10$, and -11 a revealed the retention of two deuteriums at C - 13 and retention of deuterium at H-9 and H-11. Perhaps significantly, no deuterium had been retained at H-4.

## Discussion

The results reported here provide an intimate view of polyketide assembly in benz[a]anthraquinone biosynthesis and reveal the nature of the later tailoring events that lead to the most novel part of the structure: the K-region trans-diol of PD 116740. The traditional view of deoxygenations in aromatic polyketide biosynthesis has been that they occur prior to cyclizations and aromatizations. In the case of the 6-methylsalicyclic acid synthase of Penicillium patulum, reduction and dehydration are part of the processive development of the acyclic polyketide intermediate. ${ }^{14}$ However, a postaromatic deoxygenation has recently been demonstrated in the conversion of emodin to chrysophanol. ${ }^{15}$

In the case of benz[a]anthraquinones, prearomatic deoxygenation at $\mathrm{C}-10$ during formation of the polyketide chain has been assumed, consistent with the ketoreductase and dehydrase genes associated with anthracycline, tetracycline, and benzoisochromanquinone pathways: that is, they are part of the polyketide synthase (PKS). ${ }^{16}$ Incorporation of 4 into 5 now indicates deoxygenation at $\mathrm{C}-6$ is also prearomatic, although it remains to be determined whether this is due to a gene integral to the PKS cluster (e.g. acetylCoA to $\mathbf{1 0}$ or 11) or a gene for a subsequent transformation, such as reduction after the formation of a bicyclic intermediate (e.g. 12 to 11). A partially cyclized intermediate has been proposed in the actinorhodin pathway to rationalize new metabolites produced by blocked mutants. ${ }^{17}$ The lack of deuterium enrichment at H-4 of 5 f may also be indicative of partially cyclized intermediates in the biosynthesis of 5 . Tricyclic intermediates have been demonstrated in the biosyntheses of anthracycline antibiotics ${ }^{18,19}$ and of tetracenomycin. ${ }^{20}$ An analogous bicyclic intermediate has been postulated in actinorhodin biosynthesis. ${ }^{21}$ Thus, a tricyclic intermediate 13 in the biosynthesis of benz[a]anthraquinones might leave sufficient time for even more extensive exchange adjacent to the residual ketone than is normally encountered at all methylene sites in polyketide and fatty acid biosyntheses.

The conversion of tetrangulol to 5 requires a minimum of four steps in an as yet undefined order: $O$-methylation, arylmethyloxygenation, and a sequence of epoxidation and hydrolysis to generate the trans-diol in the K-region of the angular structure. 8-O-Methyltetrangulol has been reported as antibiotic X-14881E, ${ }^{22}$

[^3]and TAN- $1085^{23}$ may be a glycoside of 8-desmethyl-5, although the diol stereochemistry of this latter antibiotic was not indicated. One example of a benz[a]anthraquinone K -region epoxide, elmycin B, has been reported. ${ }^{2}$ Aromatic trans-diols are rare amongst microbial metabolites ${ }^{24}$ (Pseudomonas produce dihydrobenzene cis-diols by a dioxygenase reaction) but are also found in the benz[a]naphthacenequinone antibiotics (e.g. benanomicins ${ }^{25}$ and pradimicins ${ }^{26}$ ), which are homologs of benz $[a]$ anthraquinones. Biosynthetically, this latter group is derived from the regular folding of a twenty-six carbon polyketide intermediate, ${ }^{27.28}$ but the origins of the oxygens have not yet been identified.

The K-region epoxidation/hydrolysis sequence is an important mammalian metabolism of procarcinogenic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. ${ }^{29}$ The epoxide is generally not observed directly and is converted to the diol by an apparently proximal epoxide hydrolase. ${ }^{30}$ In vitro studies have revealed that the microsomal epoxide hydrolase is by far the most active enzyme with aromatic epoxides. ${ }^{31.32}$ The identification of further intermediates in the biosynthesis of PD 116740 and of the enzymology generating the trans-diol will be reported in due course.

## Experimental Section

Materials and Methods. Reactions were carried out under an Ar atmosphere and anhydrous conditions. THF was distilled from Na / benzophenone ketyl, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ from CaH , and MeOH from 4 - $\AA$ molecular sieves. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ and N -methylmorpholine were distilled from Na , and TFA from $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. Acetylene was purified by bubbling through concentrated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and then passing over KOH. Flash chromatography was performed using silica gel 60 (particle size $0.040-0.063 \mathrm{~mm}$ ).

Standard Culture Conditions. S. WP 4669 was maintained at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on agar slants composed of $1.0 \%$ cornstarch, $0.2 \%$ NZ Amine A, $0.1 \%$ Difco beef extract, $0.1 \%$ Difco yeast extract, $0.002 \% \mathrm{CoCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and 2.0\% Bacto agar. Seed cultures were prepared by inoculating 70 mL of medium containing $1.0 \%$ glucose, $0.3 \% \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.3 \% \mathrm{CaCO}_{3}, 0.5 \%$ soybean meal, and $0.5 \%$ glycerol with growth from an agar slant. The cultures, contained in $250-\mathrm{mL}$ Erlenmeyer flasks, were incubated at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 250 rpm for 48 h . Production broths ( 150 mL in 1-L Erlenmeyer flasks), consisting of the same medium, were inoculated $1 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ with vegetative inoculum from the seed cultures. The production cultures were incubated for 72 h . For precursor feedings, aqueous solutions of the labelled acetates were added in thirds in a sterile manner through Millipore filters ( 0.2 $\mu \mathrm{m})$ at 20,30 , and 40 h after inoculation. For the deuteriated 2 and 4 , DMSO solutions were fed in the same manner. Six flasks were used for each feeding experiment.

Isolation. The broth (ca. 900 mL ) was filtered through cheese cloth and then passed through a column of Diaion HP-20 resin ( 100 mL , prepared in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), and the column was sequentially eluted with aqueous $20 \% \mathrm{MeOH}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, aqueous $50 \% \mathrm{MeOH}(300 \mathrm{~mL})$, and MeOH ( 100 mL ). The material eluted at $50 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ was concentrated to dryness, and the residue was dissolved in a small volume of MeOH and applied to a column of silica gel ( $2.5 \times 30 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ ) prepared in $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. Elution with the same solvent yielded a red solution of 5 , which was concentrated to dryness, and the residue was recrystallized from acetone/ hexane.
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Incorporation of Sodium [1-13 $\left.\mathrm{C}, 2-{ }^{-14} \mathrm{C}\right]$ Acetate. Sodium [1-13 C$]$ acetate ( 480 mg ) and sodium [ $2-{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ ]acetate $(17.66 \mu \mathrm{Ci})$ were dissolved in deionized $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(36 \mathrm{~mL})$ and fed to six production broths as described above. Workup yielded 13.1 mg of $5 \mathrm{a}(0.48 \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mmol})$ after repeated recrystallizations.

Incorporation of Sodium [1,2-13 $\left.\mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{2}}, 2-{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}\right]$ Acetate. Sodium [1,2-13 $\left.\mathrm{C}_{2}\right]$ acetate $(400 \mathrm{mg})$ and sodium $[2-14 \mathrm{C}]$ acetate $(17.79 \mu \mathrm{Ci})$ were fed in the same manner, and 16.4 mg of $5 \mathrm{~b}(0.56 \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mmol})$ was obtained.

Incorporation of Sodium $\left[1-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}, 1-{ }^{18} \mathrm{O}_{2,2},{ }^{-14} \mathrm{C}\right]$ Acetate. Sodium $\left[1-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}, 1\right.$ ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ]acetate ( 400 mg ) and sodium [ $2 \cdot{ }^{-14} \mathrm{C}$ ]acetate $(26.80 \mu \mathrm{Ci})$ were fed in the same manner, and 8.1 mg of $5 \mathrm{~d}(0.69 \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mmol})$ was obtained.

Incorporation of Sodium [ $1-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}, 2-\mathbf{2}^{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathbf{2}^{2-14} \mathrm{C}$ Acetate. Sodium [1-13 $\mathrm{C}, 2-$ ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ ]acetate ( 600 mg ) and sodium [ $2-{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ ]acetate $(19.73 \mu \mathrm{Ci})$ were fed in the same manner, and 9.2 mg of $5 \mathrm{f}(0.57 \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mmol})$ was obtained.

Incubation of S. WP 4669 in the Presence of ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. Seventy milliliters of a $48-\mathrm{h}$ seed culture, 900 mL of sterile production medium, and 1 mL of Antifoam A (Sigma Chemical Co.) were combined in the sterile fermentor apparatus described by Vederas. ${ }^{33}$ The buret was initially charged with ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, and during the first $38 \mathrm{~h}, 440 \mathrm{~mL}$ was consumed. The buret was then charged with $50 \%{ }^{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and replenished as needed; 3100 mL was consumed during the next $74 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{16} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ was used for an additional 9 h , and workup gave 22 mg of 5 e .

Incorporation of $\left[\mathbf{2 , 4 - 2} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right]$ Tetrangulol. On two separate occassions, [ $2,4-{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ]tetrangulol ( 20 mg ) in DMSO ( 2 mL ) was fed as described above. After 72 h , workup yielded ca. 15 mg of 5 c each time: ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(\mathrm{MeOH}) \delta 6.85-7.05$. Quantitation using the methanol resonance indicated enrichments at each site of ca. $1.4 \%$ ( 24402 scans) in one experiment and $2.9 \%$ ( 23722 scans) in the other.

Synthesis of Tetrangulol (4a). A. 3-Ethoxy-5-methylcyclohex-2-en-1-one. ${ }^{34}$ p-TsOH ( $0.654 \mathrm{~g}, 3.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and absolute EtOH ( 22 mL ) were added to 5 -methyl-1,3-cyclohexanedione ( $15.0 \mathrm{~g}, 119 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in benzene ( 78 mL ) in a flask fitted with a Soxlet extractor charged with Drierite ( 36 g ). The mixture was heated at reflux for 28 h and allowed to cool, and 2,6-di-tert-butyl-4-methylphenol ( 15 mg ) added. The mixture was washed sequentially with $10 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ in saturated brine $(4 \times 30$ $\mathrm{mL}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$, and saturated brine ( $1 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). After drying and filtering, concentration gave a faintly yellow oil, which was distilled to give 16.4 g (89\%) of 3-ethoxy-5-methylcyclohex-2-en-1-one as a clear oil: bp $64-76^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; UV (EtOH) $\lambda_{\max } 248 \mathrm{~nm}(\epsilon 16000)$; IR (KBr) $1653.6,1602.4 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.01(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.27$ $(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 199.7,177.2,102.2,64.1,45.0$, 37.1, 28.7, 20.8, 14.0; EIMS $m / z$ (relative intensity) $154.1\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 56 \%\right)$, $112.0(100 \%)$; HREIMS $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2} 154.0994\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$, found 154.0994.
B. 3-Ethynyl-5-methylcyclohex-2-en-1-one. ${ }^{35,36} \quad \mathrm{NH}_{3}(300 \mathrm{~mL})$ was distilled onto Li wire ( ca .0 .5 mol ). The resulting blue solution was agitated with a Hirshberg stirrer under an acetylene atmosphere at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until the blue color disappeared. Evaporation of the $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ at room temperature over 48 h provided a white precipitate which was suspended in THF. 3-Ethoxy-5-methylcyclohex-2-en-1-one ( $13.1 \mathrm{~g}, 84.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 100 mL ) was added over 2 h while maintaining an acetylene atmosphere and agitating by sonication. In 3 h total reaction time the bath temperature had risen to $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the reaction appeared complete by TLC ( $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane). The mixture was quenched by addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(200 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.5 \mathrm{~N}, 1$ equiv/Li), and the biphasic mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. After the combined organic layers were dried over a mixture of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} / \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(10: 1), 2,6$-di-tert-butyl4 -methylphenol ( 50 mg ) was added and the mixture was concentrated to provide a brown oil which was distilled to give $5.67 \mathrm{~g}(50 \%)$ of 3-ethynyl-5-methylcyclohex-2-en-1-one: bp $61^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; UV (EtOH) $\lambda_{\max } 250 \mathrm{~nm}(\epsilon 8500)$; IR ( NaCl ) 2094, $1662,1589 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.28(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.10$ $(\mathrm{d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 199.0,141.5,133.6,87.1,82.5$, 45.4, 38.2, 30.0, 20.9; EIMS $m / z$ (relative intensity) 134.1 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 31 \%$ ), 92.1 ( $100 \%$ ), 77.1 ( $9 \%$ ); HREIMS $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O} 134.0732\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$, found 134.0732 .

[^4]C. ( $\boldsymbol{E}, \boldsymbol{E}$ )-3-(2-Methoxyethenyl)-5-methylcyclohexenone. ${ }^{37} \mathrm{~N}$-methylmorpholine ( $3.13 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.88 \mathrm{~g}, 28.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2,6 -di-tert-butyl-4-methylphenol ( 50 mg ), and $\mathrm{MeOH}(46.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 36.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.14 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were added sequentially to 3 -ethynyl-5-methylcyclohex-2-en-1-one ( $3.83 \mathrm{~g}, 28.5$ mmol) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 285 mL ). The mixture was protected from light and stirred at $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under Ar for 24 h , at which time it had turned dark red. After the mixture was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried, the mixture was loaded without concentration onto a silica gel column ( $4 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) packed in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Elution with $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ followed by concentration afforded $4.07 \mathrm{~g}(86 \%)$ of ( $E, E$ )-3-(2-methoxyethenyl)5 -methylcyclohexenone as a colorless oil: IR $(\mathrm{KBr}) 1617.4 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.10(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.46-2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.64(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.84(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 199.7$, 156.3, 154.3, $123.8,106.9,57.1,45.7,33.6,29.8,21.3$;EIMS $/ m / z$ (relative intensity) $166.1\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 14 \%\right), 151.1(3 \%), 124.0(24 \%), 112.1$ ( $16 \%$ ), 78.1 ( $100 \%$ ), $67.0(17 \%)$; HREIMS $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2} 166.0994\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$, found 166.0994 .
D. Ochromycinone (8). ${ }^{12}$ A solution of ( $E, E$ )-3-(2-methoxyethenyl)5 -methylcyclohexenone $(4.07 \mathrm{~g}, 24.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and juglone $(6.30 \mathrm{~g}, 36.2$ mmol, recrystallized from hexane) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with a suspension of boron triacetate ${ }^{38}(11.0 \mathrm{~g}, 58.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 60 mL ) over 6 h . After an additional 20 h of stirring, $\mathrm{MeOH}(17.3 \mathrm{~mL}$, $427 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.4$ equiv/acetate) was added and the mixture was filtered through Celite and loaded onto a silica gel column ( $5 \times 7 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) packed in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Elution with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ /acetone (1:1) and concentration gave a black paste which was further chromatographed on silica gel ( $5 \times 20$ cm , eluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to give 2.45 g of 8 after recrystallization ( $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane). The mother liquor and mixed fractions were rechromatographed to afford an additional 0.960 g after recrystallization, for a total of 3.41 $\mathrm{g}(46 \%)$ of 8 as yellow plates: $\mathrm{mp} 173.4-173.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. $152-153^{\circ} \mathrm{C},{ }^{39}$ $168-169{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{40}$ ); IR ( KBr ) $1703.4,1635.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $12.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.28(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.66-7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.25-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.97-3.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.53-2.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.45-2.49 (m, 1H), 1.21 (s, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 199.2,187.5$, 183.0, 162.0, 150.4, 137.0, 136.6, 135.9, 135.0, 133.4, 133.0, 128.9, 123.6, $119.6,115.4,47.5,38.4,30.8,21.5$; EIMS $m / z$ (relative intensity) 306.3 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 64 \%$ ), 264.2 ( $100 \%$ ); HREIMS $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{4} 306.0892$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$, found 306.0892 .
E. 2-Bromoochromycinone (9).41,42 A mixture of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and EtOAc ( $1: 1,150 \mathrm{~mL}$, dried over $\mathrm{CaSO}_{4}$ ) was added to $8(1.36 \mathrm{~g}, 4.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{CuBr}_{2}(1.59 \mathrm{~g}, 7.12 \mathrm{mmol}$, dried at room temperature under vacuum). The mixture was heated at reflux under Ar until the black color of the cupric bromide turned to a light gray ( 4 h ) and was then filtered and concentrated. Chromatography on silica gel $(5 \times 16 \mathrm{~cm})$ eluted with $20 \%$ toluene in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ first yielded 1.29 g of $9(86 \%$, based on unrecovered starting material) as a yellow solid (an inseparable 5:1 mixture of trans and cis diastereomers), followed by recovered $8(0.174 \mathrm{~g}): \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{210.0}$ $211.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec to tetrangulol); IR 1710.7, 1676.0, $1635.5,1591.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; (major isomer) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 12.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.69-7.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.58(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.98(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.57(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 192.1,187.2,182.1$, 161.9, 148.4,137.1, 134.8, 133.9, 133.6,133.0, 129.5, 129.3,123.6,119.6, $115.2,59.0,35.4,34.4,18.8$; EIMS $m / z$ (relative intensity) 386.1 ([M $\left.+2]^{+}, 12 \%\right), 384.1\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 11 \%\right), 305.2(75 \%), 264.2(100 \%) ;$ HREIMS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{79} \mathrm{BrO}_{4} 383.9998\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$, found 383.9997 .
F. Tetrangulol (4). ${ }^{10,43}$ A solution of $9(1.23 \mathrm{~g}, 3.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 40 mL ) was added to a hot $\left(135^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, stirred suspension of $\mathrm{LiBr}(5.54$ $\mathrm{g}, 63.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(4.72 \mathrm{~g}, 63.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(140 \mathrm{~mL})$ over $0.25 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{44,45}$ The reaction was cooled to room temperature, filtered through Celite, concentrated to ca. 50 mL , and diluted with toluene ( 150 mL ). The mixture was filtered through silica gel ( $5 \times 5 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) and the column

[^5]washed with additional toluene. Concentration of the combined fractions gave $1.00 \mathrm{~g}(100 \%)$ of 4 as purple/brown needles: mp $198.2-200.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. 201-203 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); IR $3428.2,1631.4,1617.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 2.50(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.69(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.85(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.12(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.30(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 11.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 12.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 189.6,187.8,161.6,155.2,142.0,139.1,137.7,{ }^{46} 136.9$, 134.7, 132.3, 124.7, 121.9, 121.2,46 120.2, 120.0, 114.5, 21.3; EIMS m/z (relative intensity) $304.3\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100 \%\right)$; HREIMS $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) 304.0736, found 304.0736.
G. $\left[2,4-2 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right]$ Tetrangulol (4a). TFA $(12.4 \mathrm{~g}, 59.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $1.34 \mathrm{~g}, 66.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were stirred together at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h to give TFA-d. 4 ( $50.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.165 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TFA-d ( $2.00 \mathrm{~mL}, 25.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 160$ equiv) were mixed in a heavy-walled tube, and the suspension was frozen (dry ice-acetone), evacuated, and sealed. After being heating in an oil bath $\left(110-120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ) for 1.5 days, the mixture was cooled and concentrated in vacuo, and the remaining brown solid was suspended in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and filtered through a silica gel plug ( $1 \times 2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). Concentration gave 49.4 mg ( $98 \%$ ) of pure $4 a$ as purple needles. ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and EIMS showed $97 \%$ ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ per exchanged position: $\mathrm{mp} 201.6-202.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$
(46) This resonance is a single line at 75 MHz but resolves into two lines at 100 MHz .
$2.50(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.69(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.85(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.12(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.28(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 11.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 12.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (dioxane) $\delta 7.12$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 1{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right), 7.31\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 189.5,187.7,161.6,155.2$, $141.8,139.0,137.5,136.8,134.7,132.2,124.7,121.8,121.2,121.1(t$, $J=26.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 120.1(\mathrm{t}, J=23.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 119.9,114.5,21.1 ;$ EIMS $m / z$ (relative intensity) $306.3\left([\mathrm{M}+2]^{+}, 100 \%\right), 305.3\left([\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}, 6 \%\right)$; HREIMS $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) 306.0861$, found 306.0861.
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